



National League for Nursing Accrediting Commission, Inc.

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

NURSING EDUCATION REPRESENTATIVES

SUSAN C. BALTRUS, MSN, RNBC, CNE
President, College of Nursing and Health Professions
Central Maine Medical Center
Lewiston, Maine

NANCY PHOENIX BITTNER, PhD, RN, CNS
Professor
School of Nursing, Science and Health Professions
Regis College
Weston, Massachusetts

LINDA K. COCKRELL, MSN, RN
Program Leader, Health and Medical Sciences
Central School of Practical Nursing
Norfolk Technical Center, Norfolk Public Schools
Norfolk, Virginia

KIMBERLY K. CRIBB, EdD, MSN, RN, CNE
MSN Program Director, Division of Nursing
Thomas University
Thomasville, Georgia

CATHERINE McJANNET, MN, RN, CEN
Director of Nursing and Health Occupations Programs
Southwestern College
San Diego, California

MARY LOU RUSIN, EdD, RN, ANEF
Professor and Chair, Nursing Department
Daemen College
Amherst, New York

ANN B. SCHLUMBERGER, EdD, MSN, RN
Professor and Chairperson, Department of Nursing
University of Arkansas - Little Rock
Little Rock, Arkansas

MARY W. STEC, MSN, RN, CNE
Course Coordinator/Instructor
Dixon School of Nursing, Abington Memorial Hospital
Willow Grove, Pennsylvania

PEGGY TUDOR, EdD, MSN, RN, CNE
Department Chair, Associate Degree Nursing
Eastern Kentucky University
Richmond, Kentucky

NURSING SERVICE REPRESENTATIVES

CHRISTINA DIMICHELE, MSN, RN, NEA-BC
Nurse Manager
The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

JANET Y. HARRIS, MSN, RN, NEA-BC
Chief Nursing Officer
The University of Mississippi Medical Center
Jackson, Mississippi

RAQUEL PASARÓN, DNP, APRN, FNP-BC
ARNP/Pediatric Surgery Liaison
Miami Children's Hospital
Miami, Florida

PUBLIC REPRESENTATIVES

GARY CARMAN, PhD, LMSW
President
Carman Consulting
South Windsor, Connecticut

DAVID E. ORMSTEDT, JD
Attorney/Consultant
Bloomington, Indiana

HOWARD S. SMITH, EdD
Education Leadership Consultant
Harris Beach, PLLC
Buffalo, New York

March 29, 2013

Marsha Atkins, ND, RN
Dean of Nursing
Department of Nursing
Cuyahoga Community College
700 Carnegie Avenue
Cleveland, OH 44115-2878

Dear Dr. Atkins:

This letter is formal notification of the action taken by the National League for Nursing Accrediting Commission at its meeting on March 7-8, 2013. The Board of Commissioners voted to deny continuing accreditation to the associate nursing program. This decision is based on the NLNAC policy that continuing accreditation is denied to programs with conditions status that are found to be in continued non-compliance with any Accreditation Standard based on the evidence presented in the Follow-Up Report submitted by the nursing program.

Deliberations centered on the Follow-Up Report and the recommendation of the Evaluation Review Panel. (See Summary of Deliberations and Recommendation of the Evaluation Review Panel)

The Board of Commissioners identified the following evidence of non-compliance and areas needing development:

Evidence of Non-Compliance by Accreditation Standard and Criterion

Standard 2 Faculty and Staff, Criteria 2.1.1, 2.1.2, and 2.4

- The majority of part-time faculty are not credentialed with a minimum of a master's degree with a major in nursing.
- There is a lack of rationale for the utilization of faculty who do not meet the minimum credential.
- There is a lack of evidence that the number and utilization of full- and part-time faculty are sufficient to ensure achievement of program outcomes.

Evidence of Non-Compliance by Accreditation Standard and Criterion (continued)

Standard 6 Outcomes, Criteria 6.1, 6.4, and 6.5.3

- There is a lack of evidence that the systematic plan for evaluation emphasizes the ongoing assessment and evaluation of the student learning outcomes, program outcomes, and the NLNAC Standards.
- There is a lack of evidence that graduates demonstrate competencies appropriate to role preparation.
- Program satisfaction of graduates and employers has not been systematically assessed.

Areas Needing Development by Accreditation Standard

Standard 2 Faculty and Staff

- Ensure the credentials of practice laboratory personnel are commensurate with their level of responsibilities.

Standard 6 Outcomes

- Ensure all expected levels of achievement are specific and measurable.
- Ensure that the evaluation plan is operationalized by the faculty throughout the program.
- Ensure that evaluation findings inform program decision-making.
- Establish a measurable expected level of achievement for job placement that reflects best practices.
- Ensure that program satisfaction measures address graduates and their employers.
- Ensure that return rates for employer and graduate surveys provide sufficient data for decision-making.

The program has the right to forward comments regarding the Commission decision to NLNAC by April 30, 2013. NLNAC will forward to the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Education any comments submitted by the nursing program.

An educational program that has been denied continuing accreditation status may appeal the decision within thirty (30) days of receipt of notice of such denial by filing a written notice of appeal via hand delivery or certified or registered mail on or before April 30, 2013. The notice of appeal shall be sent by the Chief Executive Officer of the appellant institution to the NLNAC Chief Executive Officer. The notice of intent to appeal fee of \$1,250.00 shall accompany the written notice of the appeal. The appeal fee of \$10,000.00 shall be paid prior to scheduling the hearing.

If you have questions about this action or about NLNAC policies and procedures, please contact me.

Sincerely,



Sharon J. Tanner, EdD, RN
Chief Executive Officer

Enc. Summary of Deliberations of the Evaluation Review Panel

SUMMARY OF DELIBERATIONS AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE
ASSOCIATE EVALUATION REVIEW PANEL
FALL 2012 FOLLOW-UP REPORTS

CUYAHOGA COMMUNITY COLLEGE
CLEVELAND, OH

Accreditation Status

Last Evaluation Visit: Spring 2010
Last Commission Action: June 2010
Continuing Accreditation with Conditions. Spring 2018 with Conditions
Follow-Up Report – Fall 2012
Next Review in 2 Years to be based on a Follow-Up Report addressing:

- Standard 2 Faculty and Staff, Criteria 2.1.1, 2.2, 2.3, and 2.4
- Standard 6 Outcomes, Criteria 6.1, 6.2, and 6.5

Reader Recommendation

Reader 1: Do Not Accept Report
Reader 2: Do Not Accept Report
Reader 3: Do Not Accept Report

Evaluation Review Panel Recommendation

Do Not Accept the Follow-Up Report.

Evidence of Non-Compliance

Standard 2 Faculty and Staff, Criteria 2.1.1, 2.1.2, and 2.4

- The majority of part-time faculty are not credentialed with a minimum of a master's degree with a major in nursing.
- There is a lack of rationale for the utilization of faculty who do not meet the minimum credential.
- There is a lack of evidence that the number and utilization of full- and part-time faculty are sufficient to ensure achievement of program outcomes.

Standard 6 Outcomes, Criteria 6.1, 6.4, and 6.5.3

- There is a lack of evidence that the systematic plan for evaluation emphasizes the ongoing assessment and evaluation of the student learning outcomes, program outcomes, and the NLNAC Standards.
- There is a lack of evidence that graduates demonstrate competencies appropriate to role preparation.
- Program satisfaction of graduates and employers has not been systematically assessed.

CUYAHOGA COMMUNITY COLLEGE
CLEVELAND, OH

Areas Needing Development

Standard 2 Faculty and Staff

- Ensure the credentials of practice laboratory personnel are commensurate with their level of responsibilities.

Areas Needing Development

Standard 6 Outcomes

- Ensure all expected levels of achievement are specific and measurable.
- Ensure that the evaluation plan is operationalized throughout the program.
- Ensure that evaluation findings inform program decision-making.
- Establish a measurable expected level of achievement for job placement that reflects best practices.
- Establish a measurable expected level of achievement for graduate satisfaction that reflects best practices.
- Ensure that return rates for employer and graduate surveys reflect best practices and provide sufficient data for decision-making.